

## SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

June 1, 2009

Members of Safety Committee present: Fred Deskins, Jr., Ron Stake, Barth Cotner. Councilman Doug Joseph was absent.

Other members of Council present: Leslie Kelly, Mel Clemens, Council President William L. Hills. Councilwoman Donna Shirey was absent.

Mr. Deskins: I'll open the Safety Committee at 7:43 p.m. First thing on the agenda is the approval of agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda this evening from anyone? If not it'll stand as written. I need approval of the Safety Committee minutes of the meeting that was held April 20, 2009 . Is there any comments or changes to the minutes? If not, they'll stand as written.

Item 4 - Discussion: Code changes in Chapter 913 Private Roadways as a result of the newly-created Development Handbook. I have on my agenda this evening Chapter 913 Private Roadways and I think Mr. Lucas Haire is going to come up and discuss with us why he's proposing the changes.

Director of Engineering Miller: I think Lucas intended me to come up here and address Chapter 913 changes.

Mr. Deskins: So which one of you's going to, okay, all right.

Mr. Miller: In this...

Mr. Deskins: Jim Miller, thank you.

Mr. Miller: ...this is part of the changes also discussed under, you know, No. 6 in the Service Committee. It's all part of the Development Handbook and the changes in Chapter Nine are short, if you will. For the most part in the Code where the term "City Engineer" is used, we updated that to reflect the title of the newly created department last year for the Director of Engineering.

Another change in here that's noteworthy is, in 913.05 for Bond For Improvement, monies being deposited, we're recommending that, that be deposited with the Service Department rather than the Clerk of Council. So if there are any questions, I'd be happy to answer them the best I can.

Mr. Deskins: Thank you, Jim. I guess one of the things that came to mind when I'm going over this and I know why you've got it separated into Service versus Safety, but shouldn't we treat this as one packet and take the approval process forward together as one or? I guess you might say I'm looking toward you for some guidance. We.....

Mr. Hills: I think why we probably got this, these codes are Safety enforced is why it came to Safety. The other codes are under the Service Department.

Mr. Deskins: I understand that, but what I'm saying is, if I would refer this to Council or send this to Council for approval, and the ones over there don't get approved, does that matter?

Mrs. Frazier: Mr. Clemens' batch require a Public Hearing. This chapter does not.

Mr. Deskins: This does not. Okay, that explains it. Thank you very much. Mayor, do you want to make any comments regarding this?

Mayor McCloud: I don't have anything additional to add, (inaudible).

Mr. Deskins: Is there any comments from Committee, Council?

Mr. Clemens: Yes, on 913.04c, where you've changed the "Service Director" to "Engineering".

Mr. Miller: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: That's contrary to our Charter. You can't do that, I don't think. Our Charter states that the Service Director's in charge of all streets, administration of all streets, everything to do with construction of streets; I don't think you can make that change.

Mr. Miller: I'll definitely, we'll review that. I definitely will review that.

Mr. Deskins: I think Mrs. Boratyn has a comment regarding that.

Mrs. Boratyn: I looked at this Mr. Clemens and the way I read this, it still falls within the Director of Public Service. If you read the beginning of it, it's the Director of Public Service who has to issue the permit, but it's done upon two conditions, and then there are two conditions that have to be fulfilled. One of those is, well, on both of those, the Director of Engineering, it used to be the City Engineer which was EMH&T, they would give this information to the Director, but the Director of Public Service still has to issue that permit and won't do that, I mean, we'll look at this information.

Mr. Clemens: That's not the part, that's not the part I'm talking about. I'm talking about c.

Mrs. Boratyn: Right.

Mr. Deskins: That in 913.04c.

Mrs. Boratyn: Okay.

Mr. Clemens: Every private roadway ending in a dead end or at a cul-de-sac circle shall have minimum pavement width as approved by the Director of Public Service. You're the Public Service Director.

Mrs. Boratyn: Right.

Mr. Clemens: The Charter says you're in charge of all streets, administration of all streets. So that....

Mrs. Boratyn: I agree with you....

Mr. Clemens: ...that, that should stay Public Service Director.

Mrs. Boratyn: I agree with you. I was reading it to be, it says upon the following conditions, a, b and c. So I was reading all three conditions.

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mrs. Boratyn: ..be part of that permit.

Mr. Clemens: Right, so that part should change.

Mrs. Boratyn: We can change that back if that's the concern, but I do believe it still stays under the authority of the Public Service Director.

Mr. Deskins: Do we have any more comments or questions from anyone?

Mr. Miller: Councilman Deskins, like with the packets of information that are in the Service Committee, we're going forward with this with three full readings and no emergency, so if there are questions that follow in the meetings ahead, we'll certainly....

Mr. Hills: So this will be in committee two more times?

Mr. Miller: Yes.

Mr. Hills: But Mr. Deskins, I have a couple things even though I'm not on your Committee.

Mr. Clemens: It's not going to go forward tonight, are you sending it forward or what.....I mean you want it to go forward tonight, is that it?

Mr. Miller: Yes, we want it to go forward tonight for it's first reading.

Mr. Clemens: Well, mine's not going to, but I mean, his can. He doesn't have very much. I've got a lot on mine.

Mr. Hills: Okay, a couple questions I have and I think it's just clarity. I know that the 913.05, the changing from the Clerk of Council to the Service Department is something I think, Ms. Frazier, Clerk of Council, has been trying to get done for awhile so I, it appears to be a positive thing, and I guess I've got the dumb question. Does somebody actually give money to the Service Department or does it go to the Auditor's Office? When you get money in the city, I thought Dick always told me all money's his.

Mr. Harris: Yes, it does come to me. It goes to, through the Building Department first of all and Building Department then gives us the check. We put the check in the computer as a receipt and then send the money to the bank.

Mr. Hills: And you code it accordingly to however they....

Mr. Harris: Yes.

Mr. Hills: (Inaudible).

Mr. Harris: Yes, wherever it should be coded.

Mr. Hills: So that doesn't concern the Auditor's Office that it's done that way.

Mr. Harris: No, that's the way it's being done now.

Mr. Hills: Okay.

Mr. Harris: Generally, these things come through, from the Service Department with a check and the problem we've had, the only problem we've really had with them is, they haven't adjusted the rates on those for a number of years and a lot of times the engineering costs more than what the up-front money is and then it becomes a collection issue. So, we would like to see the part later on.

Mr. Hills: And I think they are working on that....

Mr. Harris: Right.

Mr. Hills: So, it's a further draft of this, right?

Mr. Harris: Yes.

Mr. Hills: In the Service Department, right.

Mr. Miller: Yes, that's in the Service section, yes.

Mr. Hills: But one other thing in 913.05b, first sentence starts "The owner or developer shall prior to construction, deposit a sum of money" then the last sentence of the same paragraph is saying "Upon completion, any unexpended balance remaining from such deposits shall be refunded to the owner". Well, if the developer put up the money, he probably wants it back and not the owner. So I think it's just, you've got to balance that paragraph. Whoever gave you the money, you give it back.

Mr. Miller: I'm noting that change.

Mr. Harris: Right. That's our current, what we currently do. Whoever issued the check, we, if there's any residual, we send it back to them.

Mr. Hills: The change here, we're taking it from the owner/developer and we're only giving it back to the owner, so. I think it's just a matter of.....

Mr. Miller: It should say owner/developer.....

Mr. Hills: Whatever they say, they ought to be the same.

Mr. Miller: Yes, yes.

Mr. Hills: Those are the only ones that, the only thing I saw Mr. Deskins.

Mr. Deskins: Thank you President Hills. Any other comments or questions from anybody? Okay, thank you Mr. Miller. I'll make a motion we send this to Council for first reading. Seconded by Councilman Stake. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries. Thank you very much, appreciate it. With that I will close the Safety Committee Meeting at 7:50 p.m.

Safety Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

June 1, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

## SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

June 1, 2009

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Barth Cotner. Councilwoman Donna Shirey and Councilman Doug Joseph were absent.

Other members of Council present: Ron Stake, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly, Council President William L. Hills.

Mrs. Frazier: Service needs to meet as a Committee of the Whole.

Mr. Hills: I'm sorry, I will note that Service Committee tonight, because we do have two people not here, and two people left, will be conducted as a Committee of the Whole, meaning all people can participate and Chairman Clemens will run the meeting. Chairman Clemens, thank you.

Mr. Clemens: I'll call the Service Committee Meeting to order at 7:55 p.m. The first item on the agenda is approval of agenda. I'd like to add to the agenda from the Board of Zoning and Building Appeals a Special Exception Use Permit, 1762 Brice Road, Reynoldsburg, Ohio; present zoning, CC; present owner's name, Casto; existing use: vacant. Former tenant was pool hall, proposed use: place of worship/semi-public use; contact: John Moka. I'd like to add that on as Item 3a.

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of the Service Committee Meeting held May 18, 2009. Are there any additions or deletions to the minutes? If not, they'll stand approved.

Item 3a - a Special Exception Use Permit, 1762 Brice Road, Reynoldsburg, Ohio; present zoning, CC; present owner's name, Casto; existing use: vacant. Former tenant was pool hall, proposed use: place of worship/semi-public use; contact: John Moka. I want to add this, but I don't want to have any discussion on this, this evening. There are some questions to it, but I do feel there are some questions that need to be answered. Nothing really too important, but it will give people time to see that it is on the agenda, so I have it put on the agenda for the next Service Committee Meeting, if that's all right with members of the Committee?

Mr. Hills: This is Committee as a whole, so is there any.....

Mrs. Frazier: I think this has to be introduced Mel.

Mr. Clemens: I'm sorry, I can't hear.

Mrs. Frazier: I think it has to be - if no legislation is introduced by the next regular Council meeting, it stands approved, so you're going to have to send it on, then you can hold it up.

Mr. Hills: For it's first reading.

Mrs. Frazier: Yes.

Mr. Hills: Right. We'll just send this as an item for first reading, to be discussed in two weeks.

Mr. Clemens: I can do that, can't I, Nancy?

Mrs. Frazier: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: Just send it along for it's first reading.

Mrs. Frazier: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: I would like to send this to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries.

Item 4 - Discussion: Repeal and replace two ordinances passed May 26, 2009 regarding the Broad Street Water Tower Reconditioning, as emergency language is needed on each. I'd like to send the ordinance to Council, two ordinances; first ordinance would be Ordinance Authorizing Mayor to enter into contract for Broad Street Water Tower Reconditioning, repealing and replacing No. 31-09 passed May 26, 2009 and declaring it an emergency. Any questions from members of the Committee; if not, do I have a second? Seconded by Mr. Cotner. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries. Second ordinance is Ordinance Authorizing Mayor to enter into contract for construction administration and inspection for Broad Street Water Tower Reconditioning, repealing and replacing No. 30-09 passed May 26, 2009 and declaring it an emergency. Any questions involving this? If not, I'd like to send this to Council as an emergency. Seconded by Mr. Stake. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Thank you.

Mr. Hills: Councilman Clemens, just with, that's going to the Special Council Meeting this evening.

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mr. Hills: At the conclusion of the Committees.

Mr. Clemens: Item 5 - Discussion: Authorization to purchase FX 30 Vacuum Excavation System and T12S Vac Trailer. We have our Water Superintendent here Mr. Mark and he joins us only when he needs money. Go ahead Mark.

Mr. Kipp: Yes, I requested authorization to purchase this piece of equipment. It's more commonly known as a hydro-excavator. We've seen a use for it here lately, especially with everything that's being bored. If you've been up to Rosehill Road just seeing everything's bored under there gives you nightmares anymore if we ever have to dig up there, but the biggest advantage is, of this is it will allow us to locate other utilities without using a back.....by hand and I included a list of other uses that we'll use this piece of equipment in. It was attached.

Mr. Clemens: You had this in the budget didn't you?

Mr. Kipp: Yes, I did have this in the budget.

Mr. Clemens: I knew that.

Mr. Kipp: And it attaches to a bid.

Mr. Clemens: I'm sure we need it because of the problems we've had.

Mr. Kipp: Yes, I mean it's becoming more and more of an issue. A lot more utilities in a narrow or small areas.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any questions for Mr. Kipp from members of the Committee, President of Council? If not I'd like to send this to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Thank you Mark.

Mr. Kipp: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Item 6 - Discussion: Code changes in Chapter 1115 Preliminary Plat; Chapter 1119 Final Plat; Chapter 1127 Standards; Chapter 1143 Zoning Certificate; Site Plan; Plot-Grade-Utility Plan; and Table 1155 "Zoning Code Fees", as a result of the newly-created Development Handbook. Who speaks on this?

Mr. Haire: Thank you Chairman Clemens, Council members. I'll try to go over the process a little bit of what we're trying to accomplish with these changes. I know you've all received a copy of the Development Handbook and that's what's basically brought these changes to the forefront. We are trying to basically clarify and streamline the process. In the last four or five months, the City Engineer, the Department of Development and the Acting Safety/Service Director have all been working together to clarify and streamline our development process and in doing that, we've, in doing the investigation of what our development process currently consists of, we came across some changes that we feel are going to be necessary to try to accomplish that and make the process easier on both the developers and the city. So with that, I'll go over a few of the changes we're proposing.

One of those changes is, we've incorporated a Minor Site Plan. We've had a number of issues where people were adding a few parking spots, or adding a small addition, or a garage to a commercial lot, and we require those people to do the same amount of plans in our current code as if they were building a new Target store. So basically, we're trying to implement a process where for small uses or small expansions, it allows them an easier process that's an Administrative approval rather than going to a full board for approval. In our current code, if someone wanted to add a patio or wanted to add a fence to a commercial lot, we would require them to go through a full plot-grade-utility site plan so we've just tried to address that. The Major Site Plan, we changed some of the submittal requirements to better reflect what's required in the plot-grade-utility plan so it just kind of moves that up and makes it more consistent throughout the process of what we are requiring. It also requires some new survey data and things like that, that are more modern than when the code was written, so it references those

documents as well. We've incorporated facility demand worksheets. We've, in the past we've required drainage studies and utility impact studies for certain types of development. We're now going to require them for Major Site Plans as well. We've got a detailed worksheet that we would like them to fill out to figure out what the demands on services are going to be from each development as they come through, so we've incorporated that and probably the most substantive changes that we've made are to the plot-grade-utility process and Jim, you know, with having a Director of Engineering now, an in-house Engineer, a lot of the changes in here are changing the Code from City Engineer to say Director of Engineering and just more clarifying the responsibilities between the Safety/Service Department and the Director of Engineering. He's analyzed the plot-grade-utility plans and some of the issues he sees with that. As Mr. Harris mentioned, one of the big issues is that we are going into a collection mode on almost every plan that we do. We take a \$550 deposit and that's not enough to cover any of the plans that we review now, because they're so detailed and so complex. So we're asking developers to pay more up front and so that we have less to collect on the end. It also lets the developers have expectations up front on what the whole permitting process is. They're going through and doing their due diligence for a project. This will clarify and show them what our whole process is going to be. They can reference this manual. Hopefully, it will encourage people to develop here because the process will be so clear and concise but we've had complaints in the past that people said, 'oh, I've never understood the fees would be this much'. So we hope that this will address that and it will show them what the actual cost is. These are not new costs. It's just we're requiring them up front rather than on the end. So with that, I'll entertain any questions you might have.

Mr. Clemens: Anyone from the Committee have any questions for Luke? Yes.

Mr. Stake: Thank you Chairman Clemens. Luke on page 27 where it says, fee required, are those the current fees or are those, are there changes to our current fees on that page?

Mr. Haire: There are changes incorporated in there and those changes are basically we've incorporated that Minor Site Plan, which is a new site plan requirement, \$75 and the remainder of those fees, as I mentioned before, currently require a \$550 deposit that is to cover those costs. It is not covering the cost of review so this more accurately reflects what those costs to review are and Mr. Miller may be able to better answer where those figures came from in terms of determining amounts, but they're not necessarily new costs, they're just being specified up front that those are the costs.

Mr. Stake: But some of the, I mean on this page, you've got, there's whole fees.....

Mr. Haire: Yes, yes.

Mr. Stake: Revised fees, I don't see.....

Mr. Haire: The bold fees are all the new fees that were put in the Code, that were revised.

Mr. Stake: You mean, the ones that aren't bold are current fees?

Mr. Haire: Correct.

Mr. Stake: Okay, that helps me understand a little bit better. I thank you.

Mr. Haire: You're welcome.

Mr. Clemens: Yes, Fred.

Mr. Deskins: Just curious, Luke. Is, do you have any idea what kind of work load this will take away from, say the BZA and the Planning groups that have to review this? This is going to put a lot of this work basically up front on you guys, right? Have you looked at any idea of.....

Mr. Haire: The only changes will be to those Minor Site Plans that I talked about. If they have a Minor Site Plan that comes in where it's a few parking spaces, it's still going to require the staff time for approval. It just won't have to go the Board. It'll basically speed up the process. We've had a lot of requests from commercial buildings where they've come in and built a garage, where they've come in and added a few parking spaces, and it just seems, it doesn't seem right to make them go through the same process and pay the same amount of fees that you would pay for a major improvement or a major development.

Mr. Deskins: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Do they still have to go before the Board?

Mr. Haire: For the Minor Site Plans? No, those will be administratively approved.

Mr. Clemens: For parking lots, and for garages, and so forth, like that?

Mr. Haire: It's specified in here I believe, it's anything less than 5,000 square feet of impervious surface, we would not require them to come before the Board of Zoning and Building Appeals. So that's parking lot or building improvements.

Mr. Clemens: Well, that's something to think about because you know, we've got some problems the way they are. Okay, another thing in here, and you can get this done with Jed, if you want to. A lot of this is contrary to the Charter as far as the Acting Safety/Service Director and the Engineer are concerned on the plot-grade-utilities and things such as that, so I'm willing to send it on for the first reading, but I want Jed to look at it, because the Charter does state on who's in charge of what and so we want to get that worked out before we get all complicated up in something that we shouldn't be doing.

Mr. Haire: I will have Mr. Hood take a look at it and I know he's looked at the document now but we'll make sure of the, he's you know...

Mr. Clemens: ...cause it states who does what and so forth so we all look at that and also I'll get in touch with you on some of this other stuff. There's a lot of changes in there I want to go over

with you. There's no sense in sitting here (inaudible) because two of our members aren't here on the Service Committee. Are there any other questions for Lucas as far as this is concerned? Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: If I could, and I'll just note a couple things since you're going to be talking anyway, but a couple things that I ran across and no need to answer them tonight, but note them as we did with the other, and take a look if you would, 1115.04 Contents of Preliminary Plat. We're putting in the requirement prepared by a licensed professional engineer. I guess I'm just a little concerned, if we haven't had that before, do we accept them that were not that way? I know we have on minor projects and that was one of the things that actually made us probably friendly to the person but has anybody looked at the impact of that?

Mr. Haire: Preliminary plats are typically a lot more detailed. I've never seen one that's not prepared by a professional engineer, just because they're a lot more complex than the final plat. I mean, you require a lot of the data up front in a preliminary plat so we, I've never seen one that's not prepared either by professional surveyor or a professional engineer, but that's not to say that they haven't been accepted in the past.

Mr. Hills: We're putting this in just because you don't want to see one that comes in that way or...

Mr. Haire: I'd prefer, I'd prefer not to see it come from someone that is not licensed just because of the level of detail that is required.

Mr. Hills: We spent a number of years without it. I'm not saying we don't need it, I think we probably do, at least to a certain level, but I would also have to say I don't want to see this to get down to the person who's going to put their deck on their house and they have to have a licensed engineer.

Mr. Haire: Well, the preliminary plat.....

Mr. Hills: ...when it costs you more to get the seal, and we've been that seal argument in this Council chambers a few times.

Mr. Haire: Sure.

Mr. Hills: We don't want to get in to the seal argument that it costs more to get stamped than it did to do the plan so, but, I, that's just a question. 1115.05 Approval of Preliminary Plat. We've sub.....removed the Mayor and put in Planning Administrator all the way through. I just say you might want to think about that, maybe even talk with the Mayor. Sometimes if the Mayor stays involved, maybe we don't see some of the projects we would have seen if he knew what was there, so I'm not saying we shouldn't do it, I'm just saying we should think about it. 1119.01 Submission Procedure; Contents; Fees, I guess we're getting specific, is the size map we want rather than give them a range and I guess, and Luke I'm sure you didn't do that one, that's an engineering change but....

Mr. Haire: It's just easier to reduce.

Mr. Hills: Is it, is it.....okay.

Mr. Haire: Twenty-two by thirty four reduces to 11 x 17.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Is it standard paper that people use for this? So it's, rather than the range, we're saying give us a normal paper, we're not asking anything unusual.

Mr. Haire: No.

Mr. Hills: 1119.02, same thing on the Licensed Professional Engineer. 1119.07, Bond, Letter of Credit, things like that, the new paragraph which is, says we're not going to tell you what the fees are here, but go find them this place or that place. I take it, since I deal in rules all day, that's so we don't have to change this every time you change the fee, by saying the fees will be on the website or somewhere. Was that the purpose of doing it that way?

Mr. Haire: No, I don't believe all the fees are specified in that, the chart that Mr. Stake had referenced.

Mr. Hills: They are going to be attached to the documents then?

Mr. Haire: They'll be actually attached in the Code of Ordinances so it will require Councilmatic action to change.....

Mr. Hills: So that when they do change it, we'll change the Code of Ordinances.

Mr. Haire: Correct.

Mr. Hills: It won't just change by a whim of somebody deciding to change it. Okay, it's something to look at and think about. 1127.08 Public Areas, 10% of the area of each residential subdivision, exclusive of streets, shall be allocated for recreational uses. I remember the '90's when we called it Planned Development and it didn't work well here...

Mr. Haire: Let's try to clarify the wording, because it said between 5% and 10% before and we just.....

Mr. Hills: ...and we have in there now, currently it says from 5% to 10% and I, I'm not saying I'm opposed to it. We don't have that much land as we currently sit to develop anyway in Reynoldsburg, but I just was curious on that, 10% used to be the creature that we went in with PD's, Planned Developments from the early '90's and people found that developers were giving everybody the 10% of the residential land down by the creeks, but you were trespassing to get to them so. It didn't work very well, but those are all just some things as you all are looking in the, and the City Attorney's looking at it, I just note they want to have a second look at it. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: If we don't get more land, you might as well take this book out to Etna Township and let them use it anyway.

Mr. Hills: Yeah.

Mr. Clemens: Because we sure don't have much going on. Anyhow, are there any other questions for Luke? Yes Leslie.

Mrs. Kelly: I just have just one clarifying one Mr. Hills brought up. So if I want to put a deck on my house, I do not have to have an engineer, a professional engineer approve it.

Mr. Haire: No.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay. I just wanted to clarify.

Mr. Haire: It's what he references as a preliminary plat so those are only people that are platting new residential....

Mrs. Kelly: New residential, okay.

Mr. Haire: Creating new residential lots, I guess.

Mr. Clemens: But you still, if it doesn't have the right footage and so forth to the lot line, you still have to go before the Commission, right?

Mr. Haire: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: To get it approved.....

Mr. Haire: Yes.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other questions for Mr. Haire? If not, I'd like to move this on for the first reading. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries. Thank you.

Item 7 - ORDINANCE ACCEPTING UNRECORDED DEED OF EASEMENT - SIDEWALK, FROM REYNOLDSBURG INVESTMENT COMPANY (0.041 ac.)- - -1<sup>st</sup> rdg 5-26-09. Do we have any questions for the Acting Safety/Service Director from the Committee?

Mr. Hills: Sidewalk's in and it looks good.

Mr. Clemens: If not, I'd like to send this to Council for it's second reading. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries. Thank you. That ends the Service Committee Meeting at 8:15 p.m.

Service Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council June 1, 2009 (Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

## FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

June 1, 2009

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly.

Other members of Council present: Barth Cotner, Council President William L. Hills. Councilwoman Donna Shirey and Councilman Doug Joseph were absent.

Mr. Stake: I'll call to order the Finance Committee Meeting at 8:16 p.m. Item 2 is approval of the agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda? The agenda will stand.

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of Finance Committee meeting held May 18, 2009. Are there any changes to those minutes? Hearing none, those minutes will stand as submitted.

Item 4 - RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE TAX BUDGET OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG OHIO, FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2010 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY- - -first reading 5-26-09. Public hearing set for June 8, 2009 at 7:15 p.m. in Council Chambers, and this comes from Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris: Thank you Chairman Stake, members of Council. This is the Tax Budget which we traditionally do this time of year. If there's any questions on it, I'd be glad to answer them. We have a Public Hearing a week from tonight so if you want to hold until then or if you have any questions, I'll be glad to try to answer them now or get you some answers for them.

Mr. Stake: Are there any questions for Mr. Harris from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? I just have one Dick.

Mr. Harris: Um, hum.

Mr. Stake: I believe the schools are talking about laying off a bunch of folks, a serious reduction to their payroll, have you factored that into the numbers in this?

Mr. Harris: Yeh, 72 of them are teachers and that, we did not plan a big increase in income tax next year either as we didn't this year so we think that the, it will come in within what we have set up, which, like 2% of income tax increase over between last year and this year. So the 72 teachers will be a concern, but I don't think it's going to be a major concern at this point and if they get a levy passed between now and then, they'll be putting people back on anyway so....

Mr. Stake: Do you know at this time what the payroll is on that?

Mr. Harris: I have to look and see. We have the information from the schools, we don't get it broken down as individual. We know what the School District pays but not.....

Mr. Stake: If you could give me that information I'd appreciate it, see what kind of reduction or I guess maybe less of an increase they might have next year...

Mr. Harris: Yes.

Mr. Stake: ....in the payroll. Okay, are there any other, did that bring up any questions for anybody? Hearing none, then I'll make a motion that we send this item on for its second reading. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries.

Mr. Harris: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Thank you.

Item 5 - ORDINANCE TO ADOPT THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG OFFICE & INDUSTRIAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM GUIDELINES PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT- - -1<sup>st</sup> rdg 5-26-09. This came from the Development Director I believe. Are there any questions or comments in regards to this item at this time? Hearing none, then I'll make a motion that we send this item on to Council for its second reading. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries.

Item 6 - ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ACCOUNT IN THE SAFETY/SERVICE DEPARTMENT FOR USE IN CUTTING HIGH GRASS AND WEEDS FOR THE 2009 MOWING SEASON; AND TRANSFERRING FUNDS- - -1<sup>st</sup> rdg 5-26-09. Are there any questions or comments in regards to this item from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Hearing none, then I'll make a motion that we send this item on for its second reading. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries.

Item 7 - RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE REVISED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM FOR THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO FOR THE YEARS 2009 THROUGH 2013- - -2<sup>nd</sup> rdg 5-26-09. And I know we did receive an update in regards to this as requested by the Finance Committee, to add a couple items back in. Appreciate that. Are there any questions or comments in regards to this item from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Then I'll make a motion that we send this item on for its third reading with recommendation for adoption as amended. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion carries. I will adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:20 p.m.

Finance Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

June 1, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)